

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,289	05/26/2006	Robert J Benkowski	021906-024US	3187	
22904 LOCKE LORI	7590 09/15/201 O BISSELL & LIDDEI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
600 TRAVIS	SUITE 2800	NARAYANASWAMY, SHUBATRA			
HOUSTON, TX 77002-3095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	3762	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

hoip@lockelord.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/560,289	BENKOWSKI ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
SHUBATRA NARAYANASWAMY	3762						

	NARAYANASWAMY	0702	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 No The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 openods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/George Manuel/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3762	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument regarding the 101 rejection is not persuasive because the claims do not lie the steps of performing a time/frequency analyses to a specific structure or machine. The claimed invention does not disclose a computerized method. Smith et all teaches performing a Fast Fourier Transform, which involves analysis in both the time and frequency domain.